



City of Maricopa

City Hall
39700 W. Civic Center
Plaza
Maricopa, AZ 85138
Ph: (520) 568-9098
Fx: (520) 568-9120
www.maricopa-az.gov

Meeting Minutes - Draft City Council Regular Meeting

Mayor Christian Price
Vice-Mayor Marvin L. Brown
Councilmember Peggy J. Chapados
Councilwoman Julia R. Gusse
Councilmember Vincent Manfredi
Councilmember Nancy Smith
Councilmember Henry M. Wade Jr.

Tuesday, December 20, 2016

7:00 PM

Council Chambers

1. Call to Order

The City Council Regular Meeting was called at 7:08 pm. Pastor Glenn Zibelman with the Maricopa Lutheran Church gave the invocation and Mayor Price led the meeting attendees in the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. Roll Call

Present: 7 - Councilmember Peggy Chapados, Councilmember Vincent Manfredi, Councilmember Henry Wade, Councilwoman Julia R. Gusse, Vice Mayor Marvin L. Brown, Mayor Christian Price and Councilmember Nancy Smith

3. Proclamations, Acknowledgements and Awards

4. Report from the Mayor

Mayor Price reported that he and Economic Development Director, Denyse Airheart presented to the Canada Arizona Business Council (CABC). He elaborated on CABC and their presentation on Maricopa. Next, he reported that as members of the Arizona League of Cities and Towns and in partnership with GPEC (Greater Phoenix Economic Council), he had the opportunity to meet with the incoming Senate including, Senate President Yarbrough, and Speaker of the House, J.D. Mesnard. He elaborated on the meeting and emphasized the importance. He reported attending the Pinal Partnership Breakfast on the Elliott Pollack's 2017 Economic Forecast and that Maricopa was highlighted as one of the growth areas. He reported participating in the Ak-Chin Indian Community's Masik Tas parade and judging. Next, he reported that the City had an all-day strategic planning and elaborated. He reported on the opportunity to speak to members of the newly elected State bodies and elaborated. Next, he reported attending an ADOT (Arizona Department of Transportation) meeting in Surprise, Arizona and gave an update on the 347 Overpass Project. He reported going to Casa Grande to meet with Senator Elect Tom O'Halleran and emphasized the importance of meeting with representatives. Lastly, he reported on his attendance to a Lower Santa Cruz River Alliance meeting.

5. Report from the City Manager

City Manager Rose invited Community Services Director, Kristie Riester to give an update on the Maricopa Seniors relocation. Mrs. Riester stated that a schedule was worked out that would accommodate the Maricopa Seniors at Copper Sky. She

stated that based on feedback from the Age-Friendly Committee, she would continue to explore partnerships with MUSD (Maricopa Unified School District). Next, she recognized the Arizona Rattlers and Team President, Chris Presson in the audience. She stated the second year partnership agreement with them was on the Consent Agenda and she invited Mr. Presson to give a brief statement. Mr. Presson stated the team was excited to participate again and thanked the Mayor and Council.

City Manager Rose stated he read that Pastor Jim Johnson was stepping down as pastor of the First Baptist Church of Maricopa and wished him and his wife the best. Mayor Price supported the sentiment.

6. Call to the Public

Kim Hedrik thanked the Mayor, Council and the City for the support for the Victim's Advocacy Center. She recounted her experience as a sexual assault victim and the role the Victim's Advocacy Center played. She emphasized it was important to have a good first response and spoke in support of the Victim's Advocacy Center.

Diana Rexinger spoke in support of Agenda Item 10.4, on the COPS Grant. She stated that current officers needed the help because they were overworked. She stated the safety of the community was at stake and that the quality of life of the officers should be considered. She stated that as the wife of a police officer she understood that hard schedules were part of the job, but when it was out of the norm it created problems for the families. She argued that adding 2 more officers should be considered not just for the safety of the community, but also for the officers and their families.

Constance Jackson, President of the Pinal County NAACP (National Association for the Advancement of Colored People) asked for support of the COPS Grant. She argued that the youth of Maricopa was in need of a Youth Liaison Officer. She stated she teamed up with Chief Stahl and started a community forum called, Let's Have the Talk and that at the forums parents often requested that police officer be more involved. She added that the response has always been, "not enough staffing." She encouraged the Council to support the Grant.

Councilmember Wade stepped down to the lectern and read the following e-mail into the record:

"Dear Council member Wade,

We are members of the Maricopa Police Department Family Support Association and it has come to our attention that on the December 20th council agenda there will be a decision made on whether or not to accept a Police Department's grant which would allow two officers for the price of one. We are aware of present and future budget challenges the city faces, but sufficient staffing of the Police Department is enormously important to us. We, of course, recommend that you do accept this grant. It is the responsibility of elected officers and senior law enforcement to assure proper staffing. We see that Maricopa's elected officials are doing an excellent job of growing our community (new businesses, the overpass, etc.) but we can't help but feel that you do not feel the same urgency when it comes to public safety needs (both police and fire). We know you will have to play catch up AFTER this growth occurs." Councilmember Wade stated that he disagreed, and noted the Council does an excellent job at supporting public safety. He continued reading the letter:

"What does this mean for us, the family of law enforcement officers? This means our spouse may not be able to take vacation days, sick days, or even attend trainings

without adversely affecting their fellow co-workers. Our families hear their feelings of guilt when they want or need a day off, because they do not want to leave the streets and their co-workers short-handed. This makes our way of life, work OR family, rather than work AND family. With our spouses having to work so much overtime, we too are stressed. It disrupts family life and interferes with holidays and special family events. Some of us struggle to provide adequate child-care and have to quit working out of the house due to unknown work schedules. Our children have to ask if that parent will be home today, or are they able to come to a game, school, or church function. We are empathetic that many families have to deal with long work hours and missed family events. However, not all of these families have to worry that when their loved ones leaves to work, it may be the day they don't come home. We are all hopeful this grant will find your approval. Please do the right thing for our city, our officers, and our families. We ask that one of the council members read this at the December 20th council meeting so it will be on record." [The email was signed by MPD-FSA, Tiffany Egendorfer, Lisa Gatzke, Kylie Gomez, Luz-Elena Homan, Noela Judd, Julie Kaphing, Stacy Paulsen, Diana Rexinger and Liz Stahl].

7. Minutes

7.1 [MIN 16-108](#)

Approval of Minutes from the December 6, 2016 City Council Special meeting.

A motion was made by Councilwoman Gusse, seconded by Councilmember Wade, that the Minutes be Approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.2 [MIN 16-109](#)

Approval of Minutes from the December 6, 2016 City Council Regular meeting.

Councilmember Smith requested that the placing of the recorded recess time be moved until after the motion of the selection of Vice Mayor.

A motion was made by Councilwoman Gusse, seconded by Councilmember Wade, that the Minutes be Approved as Amended. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

8. Public Hearings

There were no public hearings.

9. Consent Agenda

Approval of the Consent Agenda

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Marvin L. Brown, seconded by Councilmember Henry Wade, to Adopt the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by an unanimous vote.

9.1 [RES 16-42](#)

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Maricopa, Pinal County, Arizona prescribing standards of Financial Disclosure for elected officials. Discussion and Action.

This Resolution was Approved.

9.2 [CON 16-54](#)

The Mayor and City Council shall discuss and possibly take action on entering into a Facility Use Agreement with Sidewinder Investment Group, LLC (the "Rattlers") for use of city facilities at Copper Sky Multigenerational / Aquatic Center and Regional

Park. Discussion and Action.

This Contract was Approved.

9.3 [IGA 16-12](#)

The Mayor and City Council shall discuss and possibly take action on approving an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Ak-Chin Indian Community accepting a grant award from the Ak-Chin Indian Community Shared Revenue Program for a Family Advocacy and Resource Center in the City of Maricopa. Acceptance of this award will be contingent upon the Maricopa Police Department working with partnering agencies in securing commitments through a signed agreement for the ongoing annual cost. Discussion and Possible Action.

This Intergovernmental Agreement was Approved.

10. Regular Agenda

10.1 [PRES 16-32](#)

The Mayor and City Council shall hear a presentation on the implementation of a performance management system. Discussion only.

Assistant to the City Manager, Jennifer Brown gave a PowerPoint presentation on the implementation of a Performance Management System. She gave some background on the process of the 2040 Vision Strategic Plan and iSTAR Values and added that the implementation of a Performance Management System would track the progress of both. She stated she and Tim Gomez watched demonstration and spoke with 4 different companies. She indicated that they received quotes from 3 of the companies and with the help of the finance department they selected OnStrategy. She stated OnStrategy was selected because it was scalable, affordable, customizable, and it included a mobile app and API connectivity. She added that it had positive references, experience with government entities, the ability to create various public-facing dashboards, it included a team of strategy experts and a fast onboarding process. She stated it could take about 60 days to roll out. She turned the presentation over (telephonically) to Chelsea Voors with OnStrategy.

Chelsea Voors gave a summary of how OnStrategy works including the services and software they offer. She gave a brief background on their staff and then went on to detail the platform. She stated it was a web-based platform and that it would dynamically host the 2040 Vision and departmental operational plans. She elaborated the platform would offer simplified goal cascading, real-time collaboration, KPI scorecard and custom reporting and automated presentations. She gave a live demonstration of what the visual performance dashboard would look like. She concluded the presentation and invited questions from Council.

Vice Mayor Brown thanked Mrs. Brown for the presentation. He stated that it would take a while to digest all the information. Councilmember Smith commended the platform and the direction it was headed. She stated it would be a challenge to incorporate it, but it would be fruitful. Mayor Price stated he would like more information on how personnel intend to use the platform to its maximum without it becoming a burden on staff and slowing productivity. He stated that as the City grows the website needs to constantly improve. He suggested bringing the item back during a Work Session to discuss in detail.

City Manager Rose elaborated on why the 2040 Vision and the work plan were established. He further elaborated that the next issue was to determine how well the City was performing and stated that performance measures would help determine success. He clarified that the goal was to link the software with the work plan and budget to establish clear performance measures, and then bring back proposed performance measures for Council approval. Councilmember Manfredi asked for

clarification. City Manager Rose elaborated and Mrs. Brown responded that the software had not been purchased.

Councilmember Wade asked how the software would benefit staff and/or impact them. City Manager Rose stated the intention was to work closely with Council to identify which measures were important to them. He added that departments would not be restricted from having additional performance measures. He elaborated that initially it would be minimal impact on staff.

Councilmember Chapados asked if the cost would increase as the expectations increased. Mrs. Brown responded and elaborated that the price was set. Mrs. Voors explained why the cost was set. Mayor Price thanked Mrs. Brown and Chelsea Voors for the presentation.

The Presentation was heard.

10.2 **[BDGT 16-11](#)**

The Mayor and City Council shall discuss and possibly take action on transferring \$21,000 in Contingency Funds (10010000-99000) to the Communications and Marketing, Professional and Occupational Budget (10011116-53320) to pay for the 2016 Citizen Survey. Discussion and Action.

City Manager Rose explained that the survey was not funded this year due to the potential transfer of funds to the school district. He explained that the transfer to the schools did not materialize and therefore he recommended moving forward with the Citizen Survey with the potential of extending the survey to include developers and builders. He stated that the budget transfer would come out of Contingency Funds and elaborated on the mid-year status of the contingency account.

Councilmember Wade inquired on the inclusion of developers and builders. City Manager Rose elaborated that it was to get a better idea on their needs. Councilmember Smith asked when the last survey was conducted and City Manager responded it was two years ago, in 2014. She supported expanding the survey to developers and expressed concerns with using money from the contingency budget. After City Manager Rose elaborated on the budget, Councilmember Smith stated she felt comfortable using contingency funds for the survey because it would provide a lot of information.

Councilmember Chapados stated she would like to see a more concentrated marketing campaign. She elaborated and added that committees could provide input before the survey was finalized. She also recommended that the data from the developer community be separated from the data from citizens. She stated that Economic Development and Development Services should weigh in on the questions to the developer community. She elaborated and reiterated reaching out to the BCC's (Boards, Commissions and Committees) and the corresponding departments for input on the survey. City Manager Rose elaborated on new additions to the survey including outreach and calls to cell phone numbers. He added that Assistant to the City Manager, Jennifer Brown would lead the survey and noted she was outstanding with social media. He stated that the actual survey document would be presented in a Work Session for final approval. Mayor Price elaborated on why there needed to be two sides to the survey if developers were going to be included.

A motion was made by Councilmember Wade, seconded by Vice Mayor Brown, that this Budgetary Transfer be Approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

10.3 **[CON 16-55](#)**

The Mayor and City Council shall discuss and possibly take action on approval of the Second Amendment to the existing contract with Carnation Building Services, Inc., increasing the spending capacity to an amount not to exceed \$250,000 for janitorial

services at City Hall, Copper Sky Recreation Complex, Maricopa Public Library, Public Work/Fleet Maintenance, Copa Center, Fire Administration, and Pacana Park. Funding for this contract will be split between General Fund, Facilities, Cleaning Services (10055158-54420); Copper Sky, Community Service Administration, Custodial (23033130-54423); and Copper Sky, Park Maintenance, Custodial (23033132-54423). The balance of the contract will be charged to various department budgets when services are provided on an as-needed basis. This Amendment will provide funding to cover a 6.25% price increase due to the new minimum wage laws that will be effective January 1, 2017. Discussion and Action.

Public Works Director, Bill Fay explained that Carnation notified the City of a 6.25% price increase effective January 1, 2017 due to the law that raised the minimum wage.

Councilmember Smith inquired whether it was just the first year increase and whether it would continue to increase for three years. Mr. Fay responded that the changes would be incorporated into future contract renewals and elaborated. Vice Mayor Brown inquired how the contract would be impacted in the case of the new law being nullified. Mr. Fay stated that ultimately the City was not obligated to pay for any wages that were not being paid and elaborated. Councilmember Chapados inquired if the City was saving by contracting the services rather than hiring staff. Mr. Fay responded that several years ago it was determined to save the City money and added that he did not know whether that was still the case. He stated that analysis could be conducted to make the determination and elaborated on his experience with managing and hiring janitorial staff. Councilmember Wade inquired whether the services were for all City buildings. Mr. Fay elaborated and added that it was an annual contract and the renewal period would be in the summer.

A motion was made by Councilmember Smith, seconded by Councilmember Wade, that this Contract be Approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

10.4 **[GRAAC 16-06](#)**

The Mayor and City Council shall discuss and possibly take action to accept or reject the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services Hiring Program (CHP) grant in the amount of \$250,000 for the hiring of two officers; a Crime Prevention Officer and a Youth Liaison Officer. Acceptance of the grant will also provide Chief Stahl, Mayor Price and the Grants Coordinator (TJ Hansell) the authority to electronically sign and prepare all grant documents. Accepting the grant also commits the City to the cost share of the grant. Discussion and Possible Action.

Maricopa Police Chief Stahl clarified that regardless of the decision made tonight the police department would press on and continue to provide excellent quality service. He stated his objective was to help the Council understand what would not be provided to the citizens if the grant was not accepted. He discussed the increased housing permits and noted that developers never met with the police department to discuss safety issues. He discussed the Block Watch Program run by volunteers and the lack of a business watch program. He stated that meetings with HOA's (Home Owner Associations) happen on an ad hoc basis and rarely happen with property managers. He discussed the large amount of banked owned homes in 2008 and noted that a Crime Prevention Officer could work with banks to ensure their accountability. He stated that 40% of the residents travel out of Maricopa for work and leave kids and properties unattended. He stated that during meetings, residents have asked for crime prevention environmental design evaluations but the department can't provide them on a regular basis. He stated a number of residents of the retirement community leave for months and those houses were only watched by volunteers on a drive-by basis as part of the House Watch Program. He expressed concerns with multi-family housing coming into the city without a Crime Prevention Officer or a crime prevention strategy. He discussed the lack of emergency safety

plans from the 1,313 businesses with permits, including 217 commercial businesses. He stated there were 4,300 alarm permits in the city and only 820 of them were active and paid. He added that there was no way to hold non-payable customers accountable and stated that there was an average of 100 false alarm responses per month. He stated the department wanted to implement a surveillance camera registration and identification program for the City but there were no resources to activate the program. He stated that a Crime Prevention Officer would do all of the jobs mentioned above. Next, he discussed the jobs a Youth Liaison Officer would do. He detailed the amount of students at the schools and noted that there were only three School Resource Officers (SRO) assigned to the middle schools and high schools. He stated the department does its best to address private schools and elementary schools because the grant funded SRO positions require the officers to stay on their assigned properties. He explained that out of 88 suicide calls in 2015, 45 of them were for juveniles. He stated the Victim Assistance Program provided outreach, and noted that the program was also mostly run by volunteers. He stated that officers had no outlets to take extra time to make positive contacts with juveniles and elaborated. He added that there were 92 runaway juvenile reports in 2015. He emphasized that the problem was not being addressed correctly. He discussed sex trafficking, and noted two pillars from the Presidents' 21st Century Policing recommended working with youth to develop trust. He stated that if they continued on the path of paying overtime, they would go over budget by \$70,840 that would probably come out from contingency funding. He noted that 42% of that amount was directly related to staffing shortages. Lastly, he explained that three officers were set to retire within the next two years and added that in the worst case scenario an option would be to freeze one of those positions. He invited questions from Council.

City Manager Rose commended Chief Stahl and all staff for the work they do with light resources. He emphasized he was sensitive to all the challenges that each department faced, however he could not make recommendations that would place existing and future staff in harms way. He stated his presentation would identify the current financial position, the challenges and the add-ons over the course of the years. He stated that 3 more dispatchers would need to be hired at \$78,878 per dispatcher. He stated there was a chance that the budget for the SR 347 Grade Separation would go over and elaborated. He discussed the PSPRS increase that would begin next fiscal year. He stated that the fire administration building would be displaced and moved to a temporary location but the City would need to build a new building on the long term. He stated the estimated cost for the new facility was \$120,000 per year and added that other options were being explored. He explained that all the requests made for this fiscal year's Annual Operating Budget were not able to be funded and could come back for request next year. He added that they needed to consider investing in infrastructure for economic development. He stated that the City added three SRO's that would cost \$294,764 in FY 17-18, three Community Action Team Officers (CAT) that would cost \$194, 545 in FY 18 and nine dispatchers at \$825,095 per year. He noted the costs covered salaries and benefits only, and did not cover overhead type costs. Next, he discussed what had been cut such as the Assistant City Manager position, 1 full time and two part-time park maintenance positions. He detailed the FY 16-17 general fund expenditures with a pie chart and noted that all other departments share 26% of the budget combined. He stated that he believed the positions requested were needed but that all other departments were working with light staff. He stated he could not make the recommendation for the additional positions because it was not prudent. Finance Director, Brenda Hasler detailed the percentage increase and decrease in Department Budget. She presented a slide to explain the General Fund Forecast and a comparison with the COPS Grant impact. Councilmember Smith asked for clarification, and Mrs. Hasler stated that the impact slide was for the proposed positions. City Manager Rose and the Financial Services Director recommended the

rejection of the COPS Grants. City Manager Rose opened the floor for questions.

Councilwoman Gusse asked how drastic the numbers would be if the officers due to retire actually retired. City Manager Rose elaborated and stated that there was no guarantee they would retire.

Councilmember Chapados noted it would not be an easy decision. She stated that the City had footed the bill for the SRO's because MUSD failed to apply for grants to fund the positions. She stated that fire and police were the only two departments that had to be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week for 365 days of the year. She discussed the recent budget retreat and stated that the reason that public safety took more than half of the budget was due to the volume and type of calls sustained. She added that it would be a disservice to the citizens, the police department and fire department not to seriously consider the impact of turning down grants to fund police officers. She stated the responsibility to come up with the difference to fund those positions in three years fell on the Council and directors. She commended volunteers, officers and their spouses for showing support. She supported the acceptance of the COPS Grants.

City Manager Rose stated his role was to provide information and options. He stated the Crime Prevention Officer and the Youth Liaison Officer were needed but allocating the resources to it would potentially result in some financial challenges. He stated SRO's did a tremendous job and elaborated. He agreed that it was the school districts' responsibility and elaborated that if MUSD was successful in securing the grants then the resources could be allocated for additional officers. He stated that the fire department was also facing staffing challenges and elaborated.

Councilmember Chapados responded and stated that the police and fire departments have been vigilant in trying to get grant funding. She commended their work with the little resources they have and elaborated.

Councilmember Smith thanked Chief Stahl for the way he opened the presentation. She stated that the Council and City Managers current and past have done a great job supporting public safety and elaborated. She discussed how the city has invested in public safety. She stated that she responded to the email sent to all councilmembers: that her sister was a sheriff deputy and she understood it was a hard, stressful job. She reiterated that they support public safety but they have to look at the risks. She elaborated on the potential risks and discussed the Economic Development Strategic Plan. She stated that economic development should be a priority because it would bring in revenue. She discussed the need to increase the CIP (Capital Improvement Projects) fund from the general fund. She stated that at the Pinal County Alliance meeting the economist, Elliot Pollack mentioned an anticipated recession. She stated that although she was aware that the positions were needed, knowing the risks, she would have a hard time approving the grants tonight.

Councilmember Wade stated that when talking about risk, it was very difficult to look at a numerical equation as opposed to officer's safety. He stated he could not imagine having a conversation about dollars when talking about lives. Councilmember Smith responded.

Councilwoman Gusse stated that in 2009 she was laid off from the City and that in hind sight she was thankful that it was not a police officer or firefighter. She agreed with Councilmember Wade and spoke in favor of the grants.

Councilmember Smith suggested offering dispatch services to other entities as a source of revenue. Chief Stahl explained that money that goes to the state for

dispatch services does not come back to Maricopa and elaborated.

Councilmember Wade asked what it would take for MUSD to include SRO's in their budget. Chief Stahl explained that the grant was due in March, and that they were in conversation with MUSD. He noted that he believed they would reach an agreement. Discussion ensued. City Manager Rose clarified that the cost for those officers would be \$503,000 over a four year period and \$200,000 each year after.

Councilmember Manfredi commended the presentations and commended everyone who has worked hard to keep the funds in balance. He inquired about the SRO positions. Discussion ensued regarding the SRO positions. Councilmember Manfredi asked if it would be possible to apply for the COPS Grant next year. Chief Stahl responded that the specific COP Grants were not common and clarified that the grants might not be available next year. He elaborated that once a grant from the DOJ (Department of Justice) was turned down they scrutinize future applications. Councilmember Manfredi asked if the officers would be assigned to patrol. Chief Stahl elaborated that they would not be on patrol and explained how they would prevent calls, alleviate some of the response time by responding to specific calls. Further clarification ensued. Councilmember Manfredi stated he was not comfortable with the COPS Grants and elaborated.

Vice Mayor Brown stated that he was aware of the significance of first responders. He stated that budgets were important and it boiled down to what the city could afford. He stated that police officers volunteered for these good-paying jobs. He elaborated that the decision should be based on facts and not emotion.

Mayor Price discussed staffing and stated that the City tries to do a lot with a little. He presented the budget book and elaborated on the budget process. He stated that the fire department needed people too and noted that if they add somewhere they would have to cut somewhere else. He elaborated on how Legislature has cut funding from cities. He stated that he pondered the issue since the budget strategic retreat. He concluded that it was about balance and stated that decisions came with a fiscal reality. Lastly, he opened the floor for a motion.

Councilmember Smith stated that in the past, she asked about repercussions of rejecting the grant and that Chief Stahl responded that there would be no consequences. She discussed City Manager Rose and Mrs. Hasler's analysis and made a motion to not approve the grant acceptance. Vice Mayor Brown seconded the motion.

A motion was made by Councilmember Smith, seconded by Vice Mayor Brown, that this Grant Acceptance NOT be Approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Councilmember Vincent Manfredi, Vice Mayor Marvin L. Brown, Mayor Christian Price and Councilmember Nancy Smith

Nay: 3 - Councilmember Peggy Chapados, Councilmember Henry Wade and Councilwoman Julia R. Gusse

10.5 [MISC 16-48](#)

The Mayor and City Council shall discuss and possibly take action to cancel the January 3, 2017 City Council regular and work session meetings. Discussion and Action.

A motion was made by Councilmember Manfredi, seconded by Councilmember Wade, that this Miscellaneous Item be Approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11. Executive Session

- 11.1 ES 16-33** The Maricopa City Council may go into executive session for the purpose of discussion or consultation with the City Attorney and designated representatives of the City to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding how to proceed with negotiations for the acquisition of water and sewer resources and assets, the potential terms thereof and for legal advice regarding the same pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4).

12. Adjournment

The City Council Regular Meeting was adjourned at 9:54 p.m.

Certification of Minutes

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council of Maricopa, Arizona, held on the 20th day of December, 2016. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

Dated this 17th day of January, 2017.

Vanessa Bueras,
City Clerk