

City of Maricopa

Meeting Minutes - Draft City Council Work Session

Meeting Location 44150 W. Maricopa-Casa Grande Highway Maricopa, AZ 85138

City Hall 45145 W. Madison Avenue PO Box 610 Maricopa, AZ 85139 Ph: (520) 568-9098 Fx: (520) 568-9120 www.maricopa-az.gov

Tuesday, July 17, 2012		6:00 PM	MUSD Board Room
1.	Call to Order	The City Council Work Session was called to order at 6:00 P.M.	
2.		 Present 6 - Councilmember Julia Gusse, Councilmember Alan March Councilmember Christian Price, Councilmember Bridger Councilmember Marvin Brown, and Councilmember Leon Absent 1 - Vice Mayor Edward Farrell 	Kimball,
3.	Agenda Items		
3.1	WS 12-001	The Mayor and City Council shall review items on the Regular City Co agenda.	ouncil meeting
		Councilmember Marchione indicated that he would like to have additi on agenda item 9.2, the Contract with the Maricopa Chamber of Com the Regular Meeting.	
3.2	WS 12-002	The Mayor and City Council shall hear reports on meeting and/or con attended by Council.	ferences
		Threre were no reports from the Council.	
3.3	WSPRES 12-020	The Mayor and City Council shall hear presentation from the Maricop Commerce regarding activity at the Visitor Center during FY11-12.	a Chamber of
		Economic Development Director Micah Miranda introduced Maricopa Commerce Chair Jim McMichael. He provided an update on the prog Chamber has made since its inception. He discussed how the Chamb in hand with the City. He demonstrated the increased visitor volume of the aid of a graph.	ress the per works hand
		Councilmember Brown prompted a discussion on the local economy of City's Canadian visitors.	be the by the
		Councilmember Marchione stated that he had concerns with the City' obligation in the contract. He asked if it was common practice for a ch operate out of the same site as a visitor center. He asked that staff lo under a different budget line item to perhaps open the service up to o interested parties for providing a tourism center versus a chamber of	namber to pok into funding ther possible

Mr. Miranda stated that generally, rural centers have a dual purpose site. He further stated that larger cities will often have their own centers in addition to a chamber of commerce.

Councilmember Marchione stated that the City is starting to move into an area where the funds are not being used for operation. He asked that staff look into funding under a different budget line item to perhaps open the service up to other possible interested parties for providing a tourism center versus a chamber of commerce.

Councilmemer Potter stated that many local cities in the county operate a visitor center out of a Chamber of Commerce. He asked Mr. McMichael to state the requirements for an Arizona Designation Marketing Organization. Mr. McMichael stated that to qualify as a state marketing organization, the entity must be a chamber of commerce, convention and visitor's bureau, municipal government, tribal tourism site or an entity such as the forest services.

Mayor Price summarized that the City would be missing out many benefits provided by the Arizona Department of Tourism if they chose to operate a visitor center that did not meet the previously mentioned designations.

Councilmember Marchione asked for additional comparative analysis of how other cites fund their chamber and visitor centers.

City Manager Brenda Fischer stated that staff would research and provide the information back to Council during budget discussions.

3.4 WSPRES The Mayor and City Council shall hear a presentation regarding the City's current and future General Obligation bonded debt.

Finance Director Tom Duensing presented.

He briefly discussed the general obligation bonds and annual appropriation debt as debt instruments available to the city.

Councilmember Marchione asked if there would be a premium on the \$17,200.000 bonds scheduled to be sold. Mr. Duensing stated that it is dependent on the market. Discussion ensued regarding how the fee is calculated depending on market value.

Mayor Price clarified that \$65,000,000 had been allocated, but that the city was only paying interest on what has been issued. Mr. Duensing concurred and stated that only \$20,000,000 had been issued to date.

Councilmember Marchione asked how other cities have fared with the flux of property values and bond obligations for city projects. Mr. Duensing stated that they have not fared well. He further stated that bond obligations are constitutional and offer very little flexibility. Discussion ensued.

Councilmember Potter asked if there was a time restriction for issuing the bonds. Mr. Duensing stated that he was not aware of any at this time.

3.5WSPRESThe Mayor and City Council shall hear a presentation on the Federal Transit12-021Administration's (FTA) Section 5311 grant, the City's transit program, and the
extension of the Total Transit contract.

Transit Coordinator Kellee Kelley presented. She provided a brief history of the 5311 Arizona Department of Transportation, ADOT, Transit Program in the Clty of Maricopa. She identified service for the elderly and disabled as a targeted service demographic since the program's inception.

Councilwoman Gusse asked if Maricopa would still be considered a transportation hub without the regular scheduled trips to and from downtown. Ms. Kellee stated that it would be as it still has the Amtrak Train Station in operation. She then asked if the riders who utilized the current transit program on a regular basis were disabled. Ms. Kelley stated that she did not know their status.

Councilmember Potter asked if the days and schedules for the program were flexible. Ms. Kelley stated that ADOT does expect service to remain as it currently is. He then asked if the schedule could allow for the Maricopa Seniors weekly meetings. A brief discussion ensued regarding transportation facilitated by the city's transit program for Maricopa Seniors to partake in an excursion to the Four Corners.

4. Executive Session

There was no Executive Session.

4.1	ES 12-002	The Maricopa City Council may go into executive session for purpose of obtaining legal advice from the City's attorney and to consider its position and instruct representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(7).
4.2	ES 12-003	The Maricopa City Council may go into executive session for the purpose of discussion or consultation with the City's attorney to consider its options and instruct representatives regarding the Ak-Chin Indian Community's Fee-to-Trust Applications with the Federal Government which includes properties within and near the City of Maricopa pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(3).
4.3	ES 12-004	The Maricopa City Council may go into executive session for the purpose of obtaining legal advice and discussion or consultation with the City Attorney to consider its position and instruct its attorney regarding the public body's position regarding an annexation and possibly entering into a Pre-annexation and Development Agreement with one or more landowners in the annexation area and the potential terms thereof to facilitate such annexation, pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4).
4.4	ES 12-005	The Maricopa City Council may go into executive session for the purpose of discussion or consultation with the City's attorney to consider its position and instruct representatives regarding the pending claims and lawsuits filed against the City pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03 (A)(3) and (A)(4).
4.5	ES 12-018	The Maricopa City Council may go into executive session for the purpose of discussion or consultation with the City Attorney to consider its position and instruct representatives regarding the Development Agreement with Sonoran Creek, LLC and the Intergovernmental Agreement with the Pinal County Flood Control District related to the Sonoran Creek project pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4).
4.6	ES 12-019	The Maricopa City Council may go into executive session for the purpose of discussion or consultation with and obtaining legal advice from the City's attorney and Attorney Bill Sims regarding the status of an investigation concerning a work environment complaint and give direction on how to proceed pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4).

5. Adjournment

The City Council Work Session adjourned at 7:06 p.m.